IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 6778 of 2007

DATE OF DECISION: 07.05.2007

Rachna Rani ....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L.N. MITTAL

PRESENT: Mr.Munish Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

**J.S. Khehar, J.** (oral)

Through the instant writ petition, the petitioner desires us to issue a direction to the appellate authority, requiring it to take a final decision on three appeals preferred by the petitioner. In so far as the appeals preferred by the petitioner are concerned, they have been placed on the record of this case as Annexures P2, P5 and P7 respectively. It is the vehement contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner, that despite the fact that the petitioner continued to approach the respondents, requesting them to take a final decision on the appeals preferred by her, no such decision has been taken thereon till date.

Without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner, we consider it just and appropriate to require the appellate authority to take a final decision on the statutory appeals, allegedly

preferred by the petitioner, within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The appeals be decided by passing well reasoned speaking orders. The instant order will be subject to the condition that the appellate authority has not taken any such decision on the statutory appeals, preferred by the petitioner, till date.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order dasti, on payment of usual charges.

( J.S. Khehar )
Judge

( L.N. Mittal )
Judge

May 07, 2007.
vig